Recursion Method for Deriving Energy-Independent Effective Interaction

Kenji Suzuki,[1,*] Hiroo Kumagai,[2,†] Ryoji Okamoto,[1,‡] and Masayuki Matsuzaki[3,§]

[1]*Senior Academy, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Kitakyushu 804-8550, Japan*

[2]*Faculty of Information Engineering,*
Fukuoka Institute of Technology, Fukuoka 811-0295, Japan

[3]*Department of Physics, Fukuoka University of Education,*
Munakata, Fukuoka 811-4192, Japan

(Dated: February 13, 2014)

arXiv:1402.2723v1 [nucl-th] 12 Feb 2014

Abstract

The effective-interaction theory has been one of the useful and practical methods for solving nuclear many-body problems based on the shell model. Various approaches have been proposed which are constructed in terms of the so-called $\widehat{Q}$ box and its energy derivatives introduced by Kuo *et al.* In order to find out a method of calculating them we make decomposition of a full Hilbert space into subspaces (the Krylov subspaces) and transform a Hamiltonian to a block-tridiagonal form. This transformation brings about much simplification of the calculation of the $\widehat{Q}$ box. In the previous work a recursion method has been derived for calculating the $\widehat{Q}$ box analytically on the basis of such transformation of the Hamiltonian. In the present study, by extending the recursion method for the $\widehat{Q}$ box, we derive another recursion relation to calculate the derivatives of the $\widehat{Q}$ box of arbitrary order. With the $\widehat{Q}$ box and its derivatives thus determined we apply them to the calculation of the E-independent effective interaction given in the so-called Lee-Suzuki (LS) method for a system with a degenerate unperturbed energy. We show that the recursion method can also be applied to the generalized LS scheme for a system with non-degenerate unperturbed energies. If the Hilbert space is taken to be sufficiently large, the theory provides an exact way of calculating the $\widehat{Q}$ box and its derivatives. This approach enables us to perform recursive calculations for the effective interaction to arbitrary order for both systems with degenerate and non-degenerate unperturbed energies.

PACS numbers: 21.60.De, 24.10.Cn, 02.30.Vv, 02.60.Cb

*suz93@mocha.ocn.ne.jp

†kumagai@fit.ac.jp

‡okamoto.ryoji.munakata@gmail.com

§matsuza@fukuoka-edu.ac.jp

I. INTRODUCTION

In nuclear many-body physics based on the shell model it is usually necessary to introduce a small-dimensional model space and recast the full shell-model calculation in the form of a model-space problem with an effective interaction. The effective-interaction theory has been developed as regards formal theories and their actual applications for more than forty years. The progress in this field of physics has been reviewed in the recent articles given by Coraggio *et al.* [1, 2].

The first attempt to construct an effective interaction was made by Bloch and Horowitz [3] and Feshbach [4]. They derived an energy (E)-dependent form of the effective interaction. The perturbation expansion of this E-dependent form has also been studied and called the Brillouin-Wigner expansion [5, 6]. This E-dependent effective interaction has been recognized as an original and basic form, but the E-dependence is not always desirable because the effective interaction contains an uncertain variable E which is the eigenvalue of a given Hamiltonian to be solved.

Elimination of the E-dependence was made, on the basis of the perturbation expansion, in two ways: One is the time-independent approach by Brandow [7], and the other is the time-dependent one by Kuo, Lee and Ratcliff [8]. They have proved that the E-dependence could be removed by introducing special type of diagrams, the folded diagrams [9, 10]. It has been clarified that the E-independent effective interaction consists of two series of diagrams, namely, the usual linked non-folded diagrams and the folded ones.

A next problem was how to sum up the perturbation series including the folded diagrams. Kuo and others [8] defined a vertex function called the $\widehat{Q}$ box as the sum of linked and non-folded diagrams. They have proved that the folding operation in diagrams corresponds to the differentiation with respect to E which is the diagram starting energy (unperturbed energy). Resultantly it has been established that the E-independent effective interaction can be represented as a series expansion in terms of only the $\widehat{Q}$ box and its derivatives.

The folded-diagram theory based on the $\widehat{Q}$ box has succeeded in deriving the E-independent form of the effective interaction, but it still consists of a series of terms to infinite order. A problem is then how to sum up the series. The iteration methods have been introduced to sum up partially the folded diagrams. Two approaches have been known. One is of Krenciglowa and Kuo (KK) [11], who introduced a partial summation method

for the folded diagrams and derived a self-consistent equation for the effective interaction and eigenvalues of a given Hamiltonian. Recently, defining a new vertex function, namely the $\widehat{Z}$ box, an extended KK approach [12] has been proposed and applied to some actual cases [2]. As is well known, the KK iterative equation reproduces only the eigenvalues of the eigenstates which have largest overlaps with the model space although this property of convergence has not yet been proved theoretically and an exceptional case has been reported by Takayanagi [13]. On the other hand, the $\widehat{Z}$-box method is a state-independent theory, that is, any set of the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian can be reproduced regardless of properties of the eigenstates. The $\widehat{Z}$ box is an E-dependent operator given with the $\widehat{Q}$ box and its first derivative. The $\widehat{Z}$ box becomes the effective interaction when it is calculated at the energy variable E which coincides with one of the true eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian. In this sense the $\widehat{Z}$ box method is not an E-independent theory. This situation of the $\widehat{Z}$ box method is the same as the KK approach.

The other is of Lee and Suzuki (LS) [14, 15], who derived an effective interaction by means of the similarlity-transformation theory in the eigenvalue problem. They set up a general equation for determining the effective interaction, which is often referred to as the decoupling equation. An equivalent equation was also given by Okubo [16] in a different way. The decoupling equation was solved for a system with a degenerate unperturbed energy and a recursive solution was derived. The LS solution is completely E-independent, that is, it does not contain any uncertain energies. The solution has been given in terms of the $\widehat{Q}$ box and its derivatives at a fixed energy variable E being the unperturbed energy.

The LS method has been generalized to the case with non-degenerate unperturbed energies by Suzuki, Okamoto, Ellis and Kuo [17], which we refer to as the generalized Lee-Suzuki (GLS) method. It has been shown that the GLS scheme yields a new recursive method in which d initial values for E's, the unperturbed energies, can be introduced as input parameters, where d is the dimension of the model space. This situation of the GLS method is significantly different from the LS case in which only one input parameter can be taken as an initial energy.

In view of the effective-interaction theories given to date, we focus our attention on the LS and GLS methods in order to construct an E-independent effective interaction. Both of the solutions are derived by solving the decoupling equation and represented in terms of the $\widehat{Q}$ box and its derivatives. The problem is now how to calculate the $\widehat{Q}$ box and

how to differentiate it. As for the $\widehat{Q}$ box, the most widely applied method has been the perturbation theory. The diagrams have been taken into account up to third order [1, 2, 18] and partially to fourth order [19]. The evaluation of diagrams of more-than-fourth order has been considered to be prohibitive due to limitation of computer facilities [2]. The convergence of order-by-order calculations has been investigated by many authors. The present status of the perturbative calculations has been reviewed in Refs.[1, 2]. Some of the numerical calculations for two-valence-particle systems, such as ^{18}O and ^{134}Sn, have shown that the second-order contribution is dominant and higher-order terms are less important, if the single-particle energies in the energy denominators are replaced with the experimental ones. In the previous study by the authors [20] a non-perturbative approach to the calculation of the $\widehat{Q}$ box has been presented. They have shown that the $\widehat{Q}$ box can be expressed as second-order perturbation terms if a proper renormalized energy denominator is given. They have suggested that there is a possibility that the energy denominator can be given approximately in terms of the exact single-particle energies, that is, the experimental ones.

The remaining task in constructing the E-independent effective interaction, such as in the LS method, is to calculate the energy derivatives of the $\widehat{Q}$ box. Up to date the method of numerical differentiation has been employed [18]. In general, higher-order calculations in the LS recursion method require higher-order differentiations of the $\widehat{Q}$ box, and therefore we need to calculate the $\widehat{Q}$ box at many points of the energy variable E. This situation in the LS scheme might loose the advantage of the E independence. A main purpose of the present study is to derive an analytical and non-perturbative method of calculating the energy derivatives by following the previous work in which a recursive equation has been given for the non-perturbative $\widehat{Q}$ box. This approach enables us to calculate the derivatives of the $\widehat{Q}$ box of arbitrary order and to obtain resultantly the LS recursive solution of much higher order.

The recursion method for the LS solution can be shown to be extended to a general system with non-degenerate unperturbed energies. For the GLS case we shall prove that the recursive solution can also be given in terms of only the $\widehat{Q}$ box and its derivatives at energy variables E's being unperturbed energies. In this case of the GLS method d energy variables of E's can be introduced as input parameters. For this reason there are wide possibilities of selecting the initial energies and, therefore, faster convergence in the recursive calculation can be expected than that of the LS method. We finally verify this prediction for convergence

by performing a numerical calculation with a simple model Hamiltonian.

The organization of the present article is as follows: In Sec.II, we briefly outline the standard method for constructing the effective interaction. The recursion relations for the LS and GLS solutions are derived for the systems with degenerate and non-degenerate energies, respectively. We show that both of the LS and GLS solutions can be given with the $\widehat{Q}$ box and its derivatives. In Sec.III, we derive recursion methods for calculating the $\widehat{Q}$ box and its derivatives in an analytic and non-perturbative way. In Sec.IV, a model calculation is made to obtain some assessments of the present approach by introducing a simple model Hamiltonian. A summary and some concluding remarks are given in the last section.

II. DERIVATION OF ENERGY-INDEPENDENT EFFECTIVE INTERACTION

A. Basic equations for the effective interaction

We start with a Hamiltonian H defined in a Hilbert space. We divide the space into two subspaces, namely, the model space and the complementary space which are referred to as the P and Q spaces, respectively. If all the eigenvalues of an operator $H_{\rm eff}$ defined in the P space coincide with those of the original Hamiltonian H, we call $H_{\rm eff}$ the effective Hamiltonian.

There have been various ways of deriving $H_{\rm eff}$. We here adopt the standard method given in Ref.[15]. We consider an operator ω which maps states in the P space and those in the Q space to each other. The operator ω has the following properties:

$$\omega = Q\omega P, \tag{II.1}$$

$$\omega^n = 0 \qquad (n \geq 2) \tag{II.2}$$

and

$$e^{\omega} = 1 + \omega. \tag{II.3}$$

With the operator ω we define a similarity transformation of H as

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{H} &= \mathrm{e}^{-\omega} H \mathrm{e}^{\omega} \\ &= (1-\omega)H(1+\omega). \end{aligned} \tag{II.4}$$

The condition that $P\widetilde{H}P$ be an effective Hamiltonian is that $\widetilde{H}$ should be decoupled between the P and Q spaces as

$$Q\widetilde{H}P = 0. \tag{II.5}$$

The above condition leads to an equation for ω written as

$$QHP + QHQ\omega - \omega PHP - \omega PHQ\omega = 0, \tag{II.6}$$

which has been called the decoupling equation and was also derived by Okubo [16]. Once a solution ω to Eq.(II.6) is given, the effective Hamiltonian H_{eff} is written as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{eff}} &= P\widetilde{H}P \\ &= PHP + PHQ\omega. \end{aligned} \tag{II.7}$$

Dividing PHP into the unperturbed part PH_0P and the interaction PVP, we write PHP as

$$PHP = PH_0P + PVP. \tag{II.8}$$

The effective interaction R is introduced through

$$H_{\text{eff}} = PH_0P + R \tag{II.9}$$

and therefore

$$R = PVP + PHQ\omega. \tag{II.10}$$

From the above formulation we see that the construction of the effective interaction R reduces to solving the decoupling equation (II.6) for ω.

In a recent work by Takayanagi [21] it has been proved that the decoupling equation provides a necessary and sufficient condition of determining the effective interaction which reproduces any set of d eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian H, where d is the dimension of the P space. This rigorous proof demonstrates that any of the effective interactions such as those in the KK, LS, GLS and Andreozzi's methods [22], should be derived as the solutions of the decoupling equation.

B. Effective interaction with degenerate unperturbed energy

We consider a system with a degenerate unperturbed energy. We write the Hamiltonian of the system as

$$H = E_0 P + PVP + PHQ + QHP + QHQ, \tag{II.11}$$

where E_0 is the unperturbed energy. An E-independent effective interaction for the degenerate system has been derived in Ref.[15]. We briefly outline the derivation. We define three operators as functions of an energy variable E as

$$e(E) = Q(E - H)Q, \tag{II.12}$$

$$\widehat{Q}(E) = PVP + PHQ\frac{1}{E - QHQ}QHP, \tag{II.13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{Q}_k(E) &= (-1)^k PHQ\frac{1}{(E - QHQ)^{k+1}}QHP \\ &= \frac{1}{k!}\frac{d^k}{dE^k}\widehat{Q}(E).\end{aligned} \tag{II.14}$$

The operator $\widehat{Q}(E)$ is called the $\widehat{Q}$ box according to Kuo and his collaborators [8].

Using the decoupling equation (II.6) and Eq.(II.10) for the effective interaction R, we have a formal solution for ω given by

$$\omega = \frac{1}{e(E_0)}QHP - \frac{1}{e(E_0)}\omega R, \tag{II.15}$$

where

$$e(E_0) = E_0 - QHQ. \tag{II.16}$$

The E-independent solution for R is obtained from the following recursion relations

$$\omega_n = \frac{1}{e(E_0)}QHP - \frac{1}{e(E_0)}\omega_{n-1}R_n \tag{II.17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}R_n &= PVP + PHQ\omega_n \\ &= \left[P + PHQ\frac{1}{e(E_0)}\omega_{n-1}\right]^{-1}\widehat{Q}(E_0).\end{aligned} \tag{II.18}$$

Starting with $\omega_0 = 0$, we have from Eq.(II.17)

$$\omega_n = \frac{1}{e}QHP - \frac{1}{e^2}QHPR_n + \cdots + (-)^{n+1}\frac{1}{e^n}QHPR_2R_3\cdots R_n, \tag{II.19}$$

where we have used for simplicity

$$e = e(E_0). \tag{II.20}$$

Substituting ω_n in Eq.(II.19) into Eq.(II.17), the recursive solution for R_n is solved as

$$R_n = \left[1 - \widehat{Q}_1 - \widehat{Q}_2R_{n-1} - \cdots - \widehat{Q}_{n-1}R_2R_3\cdots R_{n-1}\right]^{-1}\cdot\widehat{Q} \qquad n \geq 3, \tag{II.21}$$

where we have used the abbreviations as

$$\widehat{Q} = \widehat{Q}(E_0) \tag{II.22}$$

and

$$\widehat{Q}_k = \widehat{Q}_k(E_0). \tag{II.23}$$

In Eq.(II.21), the initial values for $n = 1, 2$ are

$$R_1 = \widehat{Q}(E_0), \tag{II.24}$$

$$R_2 = \left[1 - \widehat{Q}_1(E_0)\right]^{-1}\cdot\widehat{Q}(E_0). \tag{II.25}$$

The recursion relation Eq.(II.21) determines the sequence $\{R_n,\ n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$. If R_n converges when n tends to infinity, the effective interaction R is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R &= R_\infty \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} R_n. \end{aligned} \tag{II.26}$$

This E-independent effective interaction has been known as Lee-Suzuki's (L-S) solution [14, 15]. The convergence condition for this recursion method has been discussed in Ref.[15]. It has been known that the effective interaction R reproduces the eigenvalues of H which are the nearest to the unperturbed energy E_0.

In order to obtain the sequence $\{R_n, n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ we have to calculate the operators $\widehat{Q}(E_0)$ and $\{\widehat{Q}_k(E_0), k = 1, 2, \cdots\}$. Therefore, the procedure of obtaining the E-independent effective interaction for a degenerate system can be reduced to calculating $\widehat{Q}(E_0)$ and $\{\widehat{Q}_k(E_0), k = 1, 2, \cdots\}$. In the next section we show that these operators can be calculated analytically by means of recursion methods.

C. Effective interaction with non-degenerate unperturbed energies

In actual problems there are many cases in which the unperturbed energies are not degenerate. In this case the Hamiltonian is given generally as

$$H \;=\; H_0 + PVP + PHQ + QHP + QHQ \tag{II.27}$$

with

$$H_0 \;=\; \sum_\alpha \varepsilon_\alpha |\phi_\alpha^{(0)}\rangle\langle\tilde{\phi}_\alpha^{(0)}|, \tag{II.28}$$

where ε_α and $|\phi_\alpha^{(0)}\rangle$ are the unperturbed energy and state, respectively. The $\langle\tilde{\phi}_\alpha^{(0)}|$ is the biorthogonal state of $|\phi_\alpha^{(0)}\rangle$. We define a projection operator

$$P_\alpha \;=\; |\phi_\alpha^{(0)}\rangle\langle\tilde{\phi}_\alpha^{(0)}|, \tag{II.29}$$

then H_0 is written as

$$H_0 \;=\; \sum_\alpha \varepsilon_\alpha P_\alpha. \tag{II.30}$$

In the non-degenerate case the formal solution for ω can be derived from Eqs.(II.6) and (II.10) as

$$\omega \;=\; \sum_\alpha \left[\frac{1}{e(\epsilon_\alpha)} QHP_\alpha - \frac{1}{e(\epsilon_\alpha)}\omega R P_\alpha\right], \tag{II.31}$$

where

$$e(\epsilon_\alpha) \;=\; \epsilon_\alpha - QHQ. \tag{II.32}$$

Inserting ω in Eq.(II.31) into Eq.(II.10) we have an equation for R given by

$$R \;=\; PVP + \sum_\alpha PHQ\frac{1}{e(\epsilon_\alpha)}QHP_\alpha - \sum_\alpha PHQ\frac{1}{e(\epsilon_\alpha)}\omega R P_\alpha \tag{II.33}$$

We then have a formal solution for R as

$$R \;=\; \sum_\alpha \left[P + PHQ\frac{1}{e(\epsilon_\alpha)}\omega\right]^{-1} \widehat{Q}(\epsilon_\alpha)P_\alpha, \tag{II.34}$$

where $\widehat{Q}(\epsilon_\alpha)$ is the $\widehat{Q}$ box in Eq.(II.13) with the energy variable $E = \epsilon_\alpha$. With Eqs.(II.31) and (II.34) we set up recurrence equations for ω and R as

$$\omega_n \;=\; \sum_\alpha \left[\frac{1}{e(\epsilon_\alpha)} QHP_\alpha - \frac{1}{e(\epsilon_\alpha)}\omega_{n-1} R_n P_\alpha\right], \tag{II.35}$$

and

$$R_n \;=\; \sum_\alpha \left[P + PHQ \frac{1}{e(\epsilon_\alpha)} \omega_{n-1} \right]^{-1} \widehat{Q}(\epsilon_\alpha) P_\alpha. \tag{II.36}$$

These two recursion relations can be understood as general extensions of Eqs.(II.17) and (II.18) for a system with a degenerate unperturbed energy E_0 to the case with non-degenerate unperturbed energies $\{\varepsilon_\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2, \cdots, d\}$, where d is the dimension of the P space.

We start with the initial value $\omega_0 = 0$. The solutions for R are given by

$$R_1 \;=\; \sum_\alpha \widehat{Q}(\epsilon_\alpha) P_\alpha \tag{II.37}$$

and

$$R_2 \;=\; \sum_\alpha \left[P - \sum_\beta \widehat{Q}_1(\epsilon_\alpha, \epsilon_\beta) P_\beta \right]^{-1} \widehat{Q}(\epsilon_\alpha) P_\alpha, \tag{II.38}$$

and generally

$$R_n \;=\; \sum_\alpha X_{n-1,\alpha} \widehat{Q}(\epsilon_\alpha) P_\alpha, \tag{II.39}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n-1,\alpha} \;=\; & P - \sum_\beta \widehat{Q}_1(\epsilon_\alpha, \epsilon_\beta) P_\beta - \sum_{\beta,\gamma} \widehat{Q}_2(\epsilon_\alpha, \epsilon_\beta, \epsilon_\gamma) P_\beta R_{n-1} P_\gamma - \cdots \\ & - \sum_{\beta,\gamma,\cdots,\lambda,\mu} \widehat{Q}_{n-1}(\epsilon_\alpha, \epsilon_\beta, \cdots, \epsilon_\lambda, \epsilon_\mu) P_\beta R_2 P_\gamma R_3 \cdots R_{n-2} P_\lambda R_{n-1} P_\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{II.40}$$

and

$$\widehat{Q}_m(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \cdots, \epsilon_{m+1}) \;=\; (-1)^m PHQ \frac{1}{e(\epsilon_1) e(\epsilon_2) \cdots e(\epsilon_m) e(\epsilon_{m+1})} QHP. \tag{II.41}$$

The expression of R in Eq.(II.39) is a straightforward extension of R in Eq.(II.21) for the degenerate case to the non-degenerate case. This has already been derived by Suzuki, Okamoto, Ellis and Kuo [17], which we call the generalized LS (GLS) solution.

The problem is now how to calculate $\widehat{Q}_m(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_{m+1})$ in Eq.(II.41) which we call the multi-energy $\widehat{Q}$ box. We here note that the subscript m means that the operator $\widehat{Q}_m(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_{m+1})$ contains $m+1$ denominators. Therefore, when all the energies $\{\varepsilon_\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2, \cdots, m+1\}$ are the same, the operator $\widehat{Q}_m(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_{m+1})$ reduces to $\widehat{Q}_m(\varepsilon_1)$ with a single energy variable in Eq.(II.14). In this sense, the multi-energy $\widehat{Q}$ box

$\widehat{Q}_m(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_{m+1})$ can also be understood as an extension of the $\widehat{Q}_m(\varepsilon_1)$ in Eq.(II.14) with a single energy variable ε_1 to the case with multi-energy variables $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_{m+1})$. If the variables $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_{m+1})$ are all different, the multi-energy $\widehat{Q}_m$ box in Eq.(II.41) can be expressed as a linear combination of the $\widehat{Q}$ box as [20]

$$\widehat{Q}_m(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_{m+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} C_k(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_{m+1}) \widehat{Q}(\varepsilon_k) \tag{II.42}$$

with

$$C_k(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_{m+1}) = \prod_{i=1(i\neq k)}^{m+1} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_i)}. \tag{II.43}$$

We consider a general case that some of the energy variables $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_{m+1})$ are the same. Let d be the dimension of the P space. We assume that the unperturbed energies $\{\varepsilon_\alpha, \alpha = 1, 2, \cdots, d\}$ are all different. In this case we write the multi-energy $\widehat{Q}_m$ box as

$$\widehat{Q}_m(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(d)}, \boldsymbol{n}^{(d)}) = (-1)^m PHQ \frac{1}{e(\varepsilon_1)^{n_1} e(\varepsilon_2)^{n_2} \cdots e(\varepsilon_d)^{n_d}} QHP, \tag{II.44}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(d)}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}^{(d)}$ are the d-dimensional vectors defined as

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(d)} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_d) \tag{II.45}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{n}^{(d)} = (n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_d). \tag{II.46}$$

Since $m + 1$ means the number of the energy denominators, the numbers $(n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_d)$ should satisfy

$$n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_d = m + 1. \tag{II.47}$$

It is proved that the multi-energy $\widehat{Q}_m$ box in Eq.(II.44) can be given by a linear combination of $\{\widehat{Q}_k(\varepsilon_i), 1 \leq k \leq m+1, 1 \leq i \leq d\}$ with a single energy variable. Actually we may write as

$$\widehat{Q}_m(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(d)}, \boldsymbol{n}^{(d)}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{d} \sum_{k=0}^{n_\ell - 1} C_{\ell k}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(d)}, \boldsymbol{n}^{(d)}) \widehat{Q}_k(\varepsilon_\ell), \tag{II.48}$$

where

$$C_{\ell k}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(d)}, \boldsymbol{n}^{(d)}) = \frac{1}{(n_\ell - k - 1)!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_\ell}\right)^{n_\ell - k - 1} \left(\prod_{i=1(i\neq \ell)}^{d} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_l - \varepsilon_i)^{n_i}} \right). \tag{II.49}$$

The proof of the above expression is given in Appendix A. From the expansion formula for the multi-energy $\widehat{Q}_m$ box we may conclude that the E-independent effective interaction R for a system with non-degenerate unperturbed energies can be reduced to calculating the $\widehat{Q}$ box and its energy derivatives with a single energy variable. This situation is quite similar to the case with a degenerate unperturbed energy as has been shown in the former subsection.

The convergence condition for the recursive solutions $\{R_n\}$ in Eq.(II.39) has been discussed in Ref.[17]. In the present case with non-degenerate unperturbed energies the convergence condition is somewhat complicated because it depends on the initial states $\{|\phi_\alpha^{(0)}\rangle\}$ as well as the initial energies $\{\varepsilon_\alpha\}$. However, it has been shown that, by appropriate choice of $\{|\phi_\alpha^{(0)}\rangle\}$ and $\{\varepsilon_\alpha\}$, faster convergence is attained than in the case with degenerate unperturbed energies [17].

III. CALCULATION OF THE $\widehat{Q}$ BOX AND ITS DERIVATIVES

A. A non-perturbative method for the $\widehat{Q}$ box

In the present approach the $\widehat{Q}$ box is used as a building block of the formulation. An essential problem in the derivation of the E-independent effective interaction is how to calculate the $\widehat{Q}$ box and its energy derivatives, as has been shown in the former section. In place of the usual perturbative approach, we have proposed a non-perturbative and recursive method for the $\widehat{Q}$ box in the previous study [20].

We review briefly the method. We first introduce new subspaces in the Q space. It has been shown that there exist subspaces $\{Q_1, Q_2, \cdots\}$ in the Q space such that they satisfy the conditions;

$$PHQ_m \;=\; Q_m HP = 0 \;(m \geq 2), \tag{III.1}$$

$$Q_m H Q_{m+k} \;=\; Q_{m+k} H Q_m = 0 \;(m \geq 1,\; k \geq 2). \tag{III.2}$$

The above conditions mean that the Hamiltonian is transformed to a block-tridiagonal form

as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} PHP & PHQ_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ Q_1HP & Q_1HQ_1 & Q_1HQ_2 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & Q_2HQ_1 & Q_2HQ_2 & Q_2HQ_3 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & Q_3HQ_2 & Q_3HQ_3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{III.3}$$

The subspaces $\{P, Q_1, Q_2, \cdots\}$ are essentially the same as the Krylov subspaces [23]. The details of determining the subspaces have been discussed in Ref.[20].

We consider a set of operators $\{\widetilde{e}_1(E), \widetilde{e}_2(E), \cdots, \widetilde{e}_n(E), \cdots\}$. The operator $\widetilde{e}_n(E)$ is a function of an energy variable E and acts in the subspace Q_n. Suppose that these operators obey the following descending recursion relation;

$$\widetilde{e}_n(E) = e_n(E) - H_{n,n+1}\frac{1}{\widetilde{e}_{n+1}(E)}H_{n+1,n}, \tag{III.4}$$

where

$$e_n(E) = Q_n(E - H)Q_n, \tag{III.5}$$

$$H_{n,n+1} = Q_nHQ_{n+1}, \tag{III.6}$$

and

$$H_{n+1,n} = Q_{n+1}HQ_n. \tag{III.7}$$

We here assume that the system with the Hamiltonian H can be well described in a finite dimensional Hilbert space. In other words we assume that the Q space can be truncated as

$$Q = Q_1 + Q_2 + \cdots + Q_N \tag{III.8}$$

and the condition

$$\left\| \frac{1}{e_{N+1}(E)} H_{N+1,N} \right\| \ll 1 \tag{III.9}$$

is satisfied for a sufficiently large number N, where the symbol $\|X\|$ means the norm of a matrix X.

We then start the recursion in Eq.(III.4) with

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{e}_N(E) &= e_N(E) \\ &= Q_N(E - H)Q_N. \end{aligned} \tag{III.10}$$

This recursion relation determines the sequence $\{\widetilde{e}_N(E), \widetilde{e}_{N-1}(E), \cdots, \widetilde{e}_1(E)\}$. Recalling that the Hamiltonian H maps the P-space states onto the P space itself and only the Q_1 space, we may write as

$$PHQ + \text{h.c.} = PHQ_1 + \text{h.c.}. \tag{III.11}$$

Using the above relation, we finally have a simple expression of the $\widehat{Q}$ box as [20]

$$\widehat{Q}(E) = PVP + PHQ_1 \frac{1}{\widetilde{e}_1(E)} Q_1 HP. \tag{III.12}$$

The above formula means that the calculation of the $\widehat{Q}$ box can be reduced to that of the operator $\widetilde{e}_1(E)$. The $\widehat{Q}$ box can be expressed by only the second-order perturbation terms with the energy denominator $\widetilde{e}_1(E)$ and the vertices $PHQ_1 + \text{h.c.}$.

B. Calculation of the derivatives of the $\widehat{Q}$ box

In order to calculate the E-independent effective interaction we need the energy derivatives of the $\widehat{Q}$ box. We here prove that these energy derivatives can be calculated analytically by means of a recursion method.

We introduce $\{\widetilde{\xi}_n(E),\ n = 1, 2, \cdots, N\}$ as the inverse operators of $\{\widetilde{e}_n(E),\ n = 1, 2, \cdots, N\}$, i.e.,

$$\widetilde{\xi}_n(E) = \{\widetilde{e}_n(E)\}^{-1} \tag{III.13}$$

and write their energy derivatives as

$$\widetilde{e}_n^{(k)}(E) = \frac{d^k}{dE^k}\widetilde{e}_n(E) \tag{III.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\xi}_n^{(k)}(E) &= \frac{d^k}{dE^k}\widetilde{\xi}_n(E) \\ &= \frac{d^k}{dE^k}\{\widetilde{e}_n(E)\}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{III.15}$$

We define for $k = 0$ as

$$\widetilde{e}_n^{(0)}(E) = \widetilde{e}_n(E) \tag{III.16}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\xi}_n^{(0)}(E) = \widetilde{\xi}_n(E). \tag{III.17}$$

Using Eqs.(III.12) and (III.15), $\widehat{Q}_k(E)$ in Eq.(II.14) is written as

$$\widehat{Q}_k(E) = \frac{1}{k!} PHQ_1 \widetilde{\xi}_1^{(k)}(E) Q_1 HP \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots). \tag{III.18}$$

For $k = 0$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Q}_0(E) &= PHQ_1 \widetilde{\xi}_1^{(0)}(E) Q_1 HP \\ &= PHQ_1 \frac{1}{E - QHQ} Q_1 HP. \end{aligned} \tag{III.19}$$

The relation between the $\widehat{Q}$ box and $\widehat{Q}_0(E)$ is

$$\widehat{Q}(E) = PVP + \widehat{Q}_0(E). \tag{III.20}$$

The expression in Eq.(III.18) means that the calculations of $\{\widehat{Q}_k(E)\}$ are reduced to those of $\{\widetilde{\xi}_1^{(k)}(E)\}$.

We show that $\{\widetilde{\xi}_1^{(k)}(E)\}$ can be given analytically through a recursion relation. We write the recursion relation in Eq.(III.4) for $\{\widetilde{e}_n(E)\}$ as

$$\widetilde{e}_n(E) = e_n(E) - H_{n,n+1} \widetilde{\xi}_{n+1}(E) H_{n+1,n}, \tag{III.21}$$

where we have used Eq.(III.13). The k-times differentiations of the above equation lead to

$$\widetilde{e}_n^{(k)}(E) = \delta_{k0} e_n(E) + \delta_{k1} Q_n - H_{n,n+1} \widetilde{\xi}_{n+1}^{(k)}(E) H_{n+1,n}. \tag{III.22}$$

For the calculations of $\{\widetilde{\xi}_n^{(k)}(E)\}$ we use the following Leibnitz formula : We start with the equality

$$\widetilde{e}_n(E) \cdot \widetilde{\xi}_n(E) = Q_n. \tag{III.23}$$

The k-times differentiations lead to

$$\sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{m!(k-m)!} \widetilde{e}_n^{(m)}(E) \cdot \widetilde{\xi}_n^{(k-m)}(E) = 0, \tag{III.24}$$

from which we obtain

$$\widetilde{\xi}_n^{(k)}(E) = -\sum_{m=1}^{k} \frac{k!}{m!(k-m)!} \widetilde{\xi}_n^{(0)}(E) \cdot \widetilde{e}_n^{(m)}(E) \cdot \widetilde{\xi}_n^{(k-m)}(E). \tag{III.25}$$

We can prove that the operates $\{\widetilde{\xi}_n^{(k)}(E)\}$ can be calculated by the descending recursion relations in Eqs.(III.21), (III.22) and (III.25). The starting values in the recursion relations are as follows : Let N be the number of the subspaces $\{Q_m\}$ in the Q space. We assume that for $n = N$

$$\widetilde{e}_N^{(k)}(E) = \begin{cases} Q_N(E-H)Q_N & k=0, \\ Q_N & k=1, \\ 0 & k \geq 2 \end{cases} \tag{III.26}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\xi}_N^{(0)}(E) = \{Q_N(E-H)Q_N\}^{-1}. \tag{III.27}$$

The calculation procedure for obtaining $\{\widetilde{e}_m^{(k)}(E)\}$ and $\{\widetilde{\xi}_m^{(k)}(E)\}$ is as follows : Suppose that they are given for $n+1 \leq m \leq N$ and $0 \leq k \leq K$, where K is the maximum number of the differentiations. For $m = n$ the operators $\{\widetilde{e}_n^{(k)}(E),\ 0 \leq k \leq K\}$ are given through the relation in Eq. (III.22). We next calculate

$$\widetilde{\xi}_n^{(0)}(E) = \left\{\widetilde{e}_n^{(0)}(E)\right\}^{-1}, \tag{III.28}$$

which is the initial value in Eq.(III.25). According to Eq.(III.25) the operators $\{\widetilde{\xi}_n^{(k)}(E),\ k = 1, 2, \cdots, K\}$ are calculated recursively. Repeating the manipulations we obtain all of the $\{\widetilde{e}_m^{(k)}(E)\}$ and $\{\widetilde{\xi}_m^{(k)}(E)\}$ which contain the operators $\{\widetilde{\xi}_1^{(k)}(E)\}$ for $0 \leq k \leq K$. We then have all of the $\{\widehat{Q}_k(E)\}$ for $0 \leq k \leq K$ as in Eq.(III.18).

As has been shown in the former section, the E-independent effective interaction can be expressed finally in terms of only the $\widehat{Q}$ boxes $\{\widehat{Q}_k(E), k = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ with a single energy variable E. Therefore, the recursive solution $\{R_n, n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ can be calculated analytically to arbitrary order for both systems with degenerate and non-degenerate unperturbed energies.

IV. MODEL CALCULATIONS

A. The case with a degenerate unperturbed energy

In order to obtain some assessments of the present approach we study a model problem. We start with a model Hamiltonian H of which matrix elements are given by

$$\langle i|H|j\rangle \ = \ (\alpha i + \beta i^2)\delta_{ij} + \gamma x_{ij} \tag{IV.1}$$

with

$$x_{ij} \;=\; 2\{\sqrt{\sqrt{2}(i+j)} - [\sqrt{\sqrt{2}(i+j)}]\} - 1, \tag{IV.2}$$

where $[x]$ is Gauss' notation which means the integer part of a real number x. The α, β, and γ are the parameters chosen arbitrarily. A set of $\{x_{ij}\}$ are recognized to be pseudorandom numbers satisfying

$$-1 \leq x_{ij} \leq 1. \tag{IV.3}$$

The model Hamiltonian is the same as that used in the previous study [20]. The total dimension of the matrix H is taken to be $N_h = 100$. As for the model space (the P space) we choose a two-dimensional space, *i.e.*, $d = 2$. The basis states of the model space are taken to be two states which have the lowest and second-lowest diagonal matrix elements of H. With the Hamiltonian H and the P space we introduce the subspaces (the Krylov subspaces) $\{Q_k, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$, where each subspace Q_k is two-dimensional. Since N_h is the total dimension, the number N_q of the Krylov subspaces is given by

$$\begin{aligned} N_q \;&=\; \frac{1}{2}(N_h - d) \\ &=\; 49. \end{aligned} \tag{IV.4}$$

We consider a system with a degenerate unperturbed energy E_0. In this model calculation we treat E_0 as an energy variable which is selected arbitrarily. We then modify the P-space part of the interaction as

$$PV'P \;=\; PHP - E_0 P. \tag{IV.5}$$

The term PVP in Eq.(II.11) should be replaced with $PV'P$. This replacement ensures that H has the same eigenvalues regardless of the choice of the unperturbed energy E_0.

We first calculate the $\widehat{Q}$ box for a given unperturbed energy by using Eqs.(III.4) and (III.12). We next calculate the energy-derivatives of the $\widehat{Q}$ box and obtain $\{\widehat{Q}_m(E_0), m = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ by following the calculation procedures given in Eqs.(III.13)$\sim$(III.28).

With the $\widehat{Q}(E_0)$ and $\{\widehat{Q}_m(E_0)\}$ we calculate the sequence $\{R_1, R_2, \cdots, R_n, \cdots\}$ by using the recurrence relation given in Eq.(II.21). The eigenvalues of the n-th recursive solution $\{E_p^{(n)}, p = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ are obtained by diagonalizing the effective Hamiltonian as

$$H_{\rm eff}^{(n)} |\phi_p^{(n)}\rangle \;=\; E_p^{(n)} |\phi_p^{(n)}\rangle, \quad (p = 1, 2) \tag{IV.6}$$

with

$$H_{\rm eff}^{(n)} \;=\; E_0 P + R_n. \tag{IV.7}$$

The eigenvalue equation (IV.6) determines two sequences $\{E_1^{(n)}, n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ and $\{E_2^{(n)}, n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$. In Table I we show the calculated results of $\{E_p^{(n)}\}$ for $p = 1, 2$ and $n = 3, 6, 9, 12$ by taking the parameters to be $\alpha = 2.0, \beta = 0.4, \gamma = 0.6$ and $E_0 = 4.0$. We see that both of $\{E_p^{(n)}, p = 1, 2\}$ converge to the exact eigenvalues $\{E_p,\ p = 1, 2\}$ which are the lowest and second lowest eigenvalues of H. We have confirmed that the convergent eigenvalues are the nearest ones to the unperturbed energy $E_0 = 4.0$.

TABLE I: Convergence of the lowest two eigenvalues $E_1(n)$ and $E_2(n)$ as functions of n, the number of recursions. Here we employ the recursion method for a system with degenerate unperturbed energy which is chosen as $E_0 = 4.0$. The parameters α, β and γ are taken to be $\alpha = 2.0$, $\beta = 0.4$, and $\gamma = 0.6$. Only the correct digits in each step of the recursion are presented. The exact values are $E_1 = 2.464968982871133$ and $E_2 = 5.468582694635212$.

n	$E_1(n)$	$E_2(n)$
3	2.4649	5.468
6	2.46496	5.46858
9	2.46496898	5.468582
12	2.464968982	5.46858269

B. The case with non-degenerate unperturbed energies

We consider a system with the unperturbed Hamiltonian H_0 given in Eq.(II.28). In actual numerical calculations we treat the unperturbed energies $\{\epsilon_\alpha\}$ and the corresponding unperturbed states $\{|\phi_p^{(0)}\rangle\}$ as the input data which are selected arbitrarily. In order to ensure that the effective interaction reproduces the same eigenvalues and eigenstates of the original Hamiltonian H, we modify the P-space interaction as

$$PV'P \;=\; PHP - \sum_\alpha \epsilon_\alpha |\phi_\alpha^{(0)}\rangle\langle\tilde{\phi}_\alpha^{(0)}| \tag{IV.8}$$

and replace PVP in Eq.(II.27) with $PV'P$.

We calculate the sequence $\{R_1, R_2, \cdots, R_n, \cdots\}$ by using the recursion relation in Eqs.(II.39) and (II.40). As has been proved in Subsection III.B, each of $\{R_n\}$ can be calculated in terms of the $\widehat{Q}$ boxes $\{\widehat{Q}(\epsilon_\alpha)\}$ in Eq.(III.12) and $\{\widehat{Q}_m(\epsilon_\alpha)\}$ which are the derivatives of the $\widehat{Q}$ boxes as in Eq.(III.18). The numerical results for $\{R_n\}$ and the corresponding eigenvalues $\{E_p^{(n)}\}$ depend on the choice of $\{\epsilon_\alpha\}$ and $\{|\phi_\alpha^{(0)}\rangle\}$. In Table II we show the results for four cases with different sets of $\{\epsilon_\alpha\}$ and $\{|\phi_\alpha^{(0)}\rangle\}$. In this calculation the parameters α, β, and γ are chosen to be the same as those in Table.I . The notations used are as follows : The $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ are the original basis states which have the lowest and second-lowest diagonal matrix elements $\langle 1|H|1\rangle$ and $\langle 2|H|2\rangle$. The $|\mu_1\rangle$ and $|\mu_2\rangle$ are the eigenstates of the P-space Hamiltonian PHP written as

$$PHP|\mu_p\rangle \;=\; E_p^{(0)}|\mu_p\rangle,\ (p=1,2). \tag{IV.9}$$

We employ two sets of $\{|1\rangle, |2\rangle\}$ and $\{|\mu_1\rangle, |\mu_2\rangle\}$ as the unperturbed states $\{|\phi_1^{(0)}\rangle, |\phi_2^{(0)}\rangle\}$.

TABLE II: Convergence of the two lowest eigenvalues $E_1(n)$ and $E_2(n)$ as functions of n, the number of recursions, for four cases A, B, C and D with different unperturbed energies ε_1 and ε_2 and initial states $|\phi_1^{(0)}\rangle$ and $|\phi_2^{(0)}\rangle$.
A: ε_1=2.0, ε_2=5.0, $|\phi_1^{(0)}\rangle = |1\rangle, |\phi_2^{(0)}\rangle = |2\rangle$, B: ε_1=2.0, ε_2=5.0, $|\phi_1^{(0)}\rangle = |\mu_1\rangle, |\phi_2^{(0)}\rangle = |\mu_2\rangle$,
C: ε_1=2.5, ε_2=5.5, $|\phi_1^{(0)}\rangle = |1\rangle, |\phi_2^{(0)}\rangle = |2\rangle$, D: ε_1=2.5, ε_2=5.5, $|\phi_1^{(0)}\rangle = |\mu_1\rangle, |\phi_2^{(0)}\rangle = |\mu_2\rangle$.
The definitions of $|1\rangle, |2\rangle, |\mu_1\rangle$ and $|\mu_2\rangle$ are given in the text. The exact values for E_1 and E_2 are given in Table I.

	n	A	B	C	D
	2	2.46	2.464	2.46	2.46496
E_1	4	2.46496	2.46496	2.46496	2.464968982871
	6	2.46496	2.464968982	2.464968982	2.464968982871133
	2	5.468	5.468	5.468	5.46858
E_2	4	5.46858	5.46858	5.46858	5.4685826946
	6	5.468582	5.468582	5.468582	5.46858269463521

As for the unperturbed energies $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$ we choose two sets of (2.0, 5.0) and (2.5, 5.5). In

Table II the results are given for four combinations of $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$ and $\{|\phi_1^{(0)}\rangle, |\phi_2^{(0)}\rangle\}$. In all of the cases the convergent solutions for the lowest and second-lowest eigenvalues of H, namely, E_1 and E_2 are reproduced. It is clear that the fastest convergence is attained for the case D where the unperturbed energies and states are taken to be $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = (2.5, 2.5)$ and $\{|\phi_1^{(0)}\rangle, |\phi_2^{(0)}\rangle\} = \{|\mu_1\rangle, |\mu_2\rangle\}$. Comparing the present results with those in Table I, we see that the convergence is much faster than that in the case with a degenerate unperturbed energy. We may conclude that, even though the calculation procedure in the case with non-degenerate unperturbed energies is rather complicated, it has some advantages in bringing about faster convergence than the case with a degenerate unperturbed energy.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The present status of the effective-interaction theory for a few valence particles in nuclei would be summarized as follows: Various methods have been given, which include the KK iteration method [11], the $\widehat{Z}$ box method [12], the LS recursion method [14, 15], the generalized LS (GLS) method [17] and the extended KK method of Takayanagi [13]. All these solutions for the effective interaction given to date are represented in terms of the $\widehat{Q}$ box and its derivatives introduced by Kuo and others [8]. The $\widehat{Q}$ box itself has been calculated usually in a perturbative way. Some of the numerical calculations seem to confirm that dominant contribution comes from second-order terms and the other higher-order terms are less important. The LS scheme derives an E-independent solution, but we need to calculate the derivatives of the $\widehat{Q}$ box of higher order if we want to perform calculations of higher order. The derivatives of the $\widehat{Q}$ box have been calculated by using the method of numerical differentiation. The calculation of higher-order derivatives requires the $\widehat{Q}$ boxes at many points of the energy variable E. The numerical differentiation of arbitrary order is not an easy task to complete.

For these reasons a remaining problem for further development of the effective interaction theory has been to find out a method for calculating the $\widehat{Q}$ box and its derivatives more simply and accurately. The non-perturbative recursion method has been given for the $\widehat{Q}$ box and its derivatives up to second order in the previous work by the authors [20]. In the present study we have extended the recursion method to calculate the derivatives of arbitrary order. With these recursive solutions we have shown that the LS and the GLS solutions for the

effective interaction can be calculated up to arbitrary order. This method is characterized to be E-independent and non-perturbative. It will be a quite interesting problem to compare the present non-perturbative solution with that in the usual perturbation method. We believe that the present non-perturbative approach would mark one step toward developing the effective-interaction theory.

Appendix A

The multi-energy $\widehat{Q}$ box defined in Eq.(II.44) can be expanded into a linear combination of $\{\widehat{Q}_k(\varepsilon_i), k = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ with a single energy variable ε_i. We here prove that the coefficients $\{C_{\ell k}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(d)}, \boldsymbol{n}^{(d)})\}$ in Eq.(II.48) can be given as in Eq.(II.49).

We first note the equality

$$\frac{1}{(E-QHQ)^n} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\frac{d^{n-1}}{dE^{n-1}}\left(\frac{1}{E-QHQ}\right), \tag{A.1}$$

which follows that the multi-energy $\widehat{Q}_m$ box in Eq.(II.44) can be given by

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{Q}_m(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(d)}, \boldsymbol{n}^{(d)}) &= (-1)^m PHQ\left[\prod_{i=1}^{d}\frac{(-1)^{n_i-1}}{(n_i-1)!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon_i}\right)^{n_i-1}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{d}\frac{1}{(\varepsilon_j - QHQ)}\right)\right]QHP \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{d}\frac{1}{(n_i-1)!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon_i}\right)^{n_i-1}\widehat{Q}_{d-1}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_d). \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

Substituting Eq.(II.42) into Eq.(A.2), we may write the $\widehat{Q}_m$ box in terms of the $\widehat{Q}$-boxes $\{\widehat{Q}(\varepsilon_i)\}$ as

$$\widehat{Q}_m(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(d)}, \boldsymbol{n}^{(d)}) = \sum_{l=1}^{d}\prod_{i=1}^{d}\frac{1}{(n_i-1)!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon_i}\right)^{n_i-1} C_l(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_d)\widehat{Q}(\varepsilon_\ell). \tag{A.3}$$

We note that, from the definition of $C_l(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_d)$ in Eq.(II.43), the following equality can be derived;

$$\prod_{i=1(i\neq l)}^{d}\frac{1}{(n_i-1)!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon_i}\right)^{n_i-1} C_l(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \cdots, \varepsilon_d) = \prod_{i=1(i\neq l)}^{d}\frac{1}{(\varepsilon_l-\varepsilon_i)^{n_i}}. \tag{A.4}$$

Using the above relation we can write the $\widehat{Q}_m$ box in the form

$$\widehat{Q}_m(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(d)}, \boldsymbol{n}^{(d)}) = \sum_{l=1}^{d}\frac{1}{(n_l-1)!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon_l}\right)^{n_l-1}\left[\prod_{i=1(i\neq l)}^{d}\frac{1}{(\varepsilon_l-\varepsilon_i)^{n_i}}\right]\widehat{Q}(\epsilon_l). \tag{A.5}$$

Applying the Leibnitz formula for the differentiation of a product of two functions, we have

$$\widehat{Q}_m(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(d)}, \boldsymbol{n}^{(d)}) = \sum_{l=1}^{d} \sum_{k=0}^{n_l-1} G_{lk} \left[\frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_l} \right)^k \widehat{Q}(\epsilon_l) \right] \tag{A.6}$$

with

$$G_{lk} = \frac{1}{(n_l - k - 1)!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_l} \right)^{n_l-k-1} \left[\prod_{i=1(i\neq l)}^{d} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_l - \varepsilon_i)^{n_i}} \right]. \tag{A.7}$$

Making use of the definition of $\widehat{Q}_k(\epsilon_l)$ in Eq.(II.14), we finally obtain the expression of the $\widehat{Q}_m$ box as

$$\widehat{Q}_m(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(d)}, \boldsymbol{n}^{(d)}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{d} \sum_{k=0}^{n_\ell-1} \left[\frac{1}{(n_\ell - k - 1)!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_l} \right)^{n_\ell-k-1} \left(\prod_{i=1(i\neq \ell)}^{d} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_\ell - \varepsilon_i)^{n_i}} \right) \right] \widehat{Q}_k(\varepsilon_\ell). \tag{A.8}$$

The above formula implies that the coefficient $C_{\ell k}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{(d)}, \boldsymbol{n}^{(d)})$ is given as in Eq.(II.49) and the multi-energy $\widehat{Q}_m$ box can be expanded as in Eq.(II.48).

We show a simple example of the multi-energy $\widehat{Q}$ box for the case of the two-dimensional P space ($d = 2$). Let ε_1 and ε_2 be the unperturbed energies. We write the $\widehat{Q}_m$ box as

$$\widehat{Q}_m(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, n_1, n_2) = (-1)^m PHQ \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_1 - QHQ)^{n_1} (\varepsilon_2 - QHQ)^{n_2}} QHP \delta_{m,n_1+n_2-1}. \tag{A.9}$$

Using Eq.(A.7), we have

$$\widehat{Q}_m(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, n_1, n_2) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n_\ell-1} C_{\ell k}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, n_1, n_2) \widehat{Q}_k(\varepsilon_\ell) \tag{A.10}$$

with

$$C_{\ell k}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, n_1, n_2) = \delta_{m,n_1+n_2-1} \frac{(-1)^{n_\ell+k+1}(m-k-1)!}{(m-n_\ell)!(n_\ell-k-1)!(\varepsilon_\ell - \varepsilon'_\ell)^{m-k}} \widehat{Q}_k(\varepsilon_\ell), \tag{A.11}$$

where $\varepsilon'_\ell = \varepsilon_2$ for $\ell = 1$ and $\varepsilon'_\ell = \varepsilon_1$ for $\ell = 2$.

We finally note that any of the $\widehat{Q}$ boxes, including the multi-energy $\widehat{Q}_m$ boxes, is an operator acting in the P space. Therefore it has a $d \times d$ matrix representation, where d is the dimension of the P space. Usually the dimension d is taken to be a small number. If the $\widehat{Q}$ boxes with a single energy variable are given analytically, the multi-energy $\widehat{Q}_m$ boxes

can also be calculated exactly without any approximation, because the r.h.s. of Eq.(A.8) is merely a linear combination of the $d \times d$ matrices $\{\widehat{Q}_k(\varepsilon_\ell), k = 0, 1, \cdots\}$.

---

[1] L. Coraggio, A. Covello, A. Gargano, N. Itaco, T. T. S. Kuo, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **62**, 135 (2009).

[2] L. Coraggio, A. Covello, A. Gargano, N. Itaco, T. T. S. Kuo, Ann. Phys. (NY) **327**, 2125 (2012).

[3] C. Bloch, J. Horowitz, Nucl. Phys. **8**, 91 (1958).

[4] H. Feshbach, Ann. Phys. (NY) **19**, 287 (1962).

[5] I. Lindgren, J. Morrison, *Atomic Many-Body Theory*, second edition (Springer-Verlag, 1986).

[6] S. Wilson, I. Hubač, *Brillouin-Wigner Methods for Many-Body Systems*, Progress in Theoretical Chemistry and Physics (Springer-Verlag, 2012).

[7] B. H. Brandow, Rev. Mod. Phys. **39**, 771 (1967).

[8] T. T. S. Kuo, S. Y. Lee, K. F. Ratcliff, Nucl. Phys. A **176**, 65 (1971).

[9] T. Morita, Prog. Theor. Phys. **29**, 351 (1963).

[10] G. Oberlochner, F. Owono-N'-Guema, J. Richert, Nuovo Cimento **B68**, 23(1970).

[11] E. M. Krenciglowa, T. T. S. Kuo, Nucl. Phys. A **235**, 171 (1974).

[12] K. Suzuki, R. Okamoto, H. Kumagai, S. Fujii, Phys. Rev. C **83**, 024304 (2011).

[13] K. Takayanagi, Nucl. Phys. A **864**, 91 (2011).

[14] S. Y. Lee, K. Suzuki, Phys. Lett. **B91** 173 (1980).

[15] K. Suzuki, S. Y. Lee, Prog. Theor. Phys. **64**, 2091 (1980).

[16] S. Okubo, Prog. Theor. Phys. **12**, 603 (1954).

[17] K. Suzuki, R. Okamoto, P. J. Ellis, T. T. S. Kuo, Nucl. Phys. **A567**, 576(1994).

[18] M. Hjorth-Jensen, T. T. S. Kuo, E. Osnes, Phys. Rep. **261**, 125 (1995).

[19] B. H. Barrett, M. W. Kirson, Nucl. Phys. **A148**, 145 (1970).

[20] K. Suzuki, H. Kumagai, M. Matsuzaki, R. Okamoto, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 024001 (2013).

[21] K. Takayanagi, Nucl. Phys. **A899**, 107 (2013).

[22] F. Andreozzi, Phys. Rev. C **54**, 684 (1996).

[23] G. H. Golub, C. F. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations* (The Johns Hopkins University Press, 1996).